JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	locket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON	NEXT PAGE OF THIS F	DEFENDANTS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Mariam Succar			Walmart, Inc. f/k/a Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. and Wal-Mart Stores East,			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Benton County, AR (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
	Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If Known)			
Kitay Law Offices 1810 Union Blvd., Allento	own, PA 18109 (610) 776-1700)				
II. BASIS OF JURISD	CTION Place an "X" in One Box Only	y) III. C		RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plain	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question U.S. Government Not a Party,) Citi:		TF DEF 1 Incorporated <i>or</i> Pr of Business In T		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties		zen of Another State	2		
			zen or Subject of a oreign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			OPERITOR/PENALTIV		of Suit Code Descriptions.	
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	310 Airplane	ONAL INJURY Issonal Injury - oduct Liability alth Care/ armaceutical armaceutical stonal Injury soluct Liability bestos Personal jury Product ability NAL PROPERTY her Fraud ath in Lending her Personal operty Damage operty Dama	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 120 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 151 Family and Medical Leave Act 190 Other Labor Litigation 191 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 110 MINIGRATION 62 Naturalization Application 65 Other Immigration Actions	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tant (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	moved from	Court Reo	(specify)	r District Litigation Transfer		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under 42 U.S.C. Sec. 2000e Brief description of cause: Discriminatory Discharge			utes unless diversity):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLA UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.	ASS ACTION D	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	\bigcirc	
DATE 03/26/2019	SIGNA	TURE OF ATTORNEY	ogrecord 1. Maman		÷	
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	MOUNT API	PLYING IFP	JUDGE	FILEDMAMAR	æ 6 20 <u>19</u>	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: 436-1/2 VV. Turner	Street, Apt. 3, Allentown, PA 18102			
	eet, Bentonville, Arkansas 72716			
	nerce Center Blvd, Bethlehem, PA 18015			
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:				
Case Number: Judge:	Date Terminated:			
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following	ng questions:			
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit perpreviously terminated action in this court?	nding or within one year Yes No			
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?				
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?				
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security apperaise filed by the same individual?				
this court except as noted above.	d to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in			
DATE: 03/26/2019 Juliam P. Attorney-at-L	Mansour 318833 aw / Pro Se Plaintiff Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)			
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)				
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:			
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts			
2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury	2. Airplane Personal Injury3. Assault, Defamation			
2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent	 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 			
2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations	 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 			
2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7 Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus	 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability – Asbestos 			
2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases	 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 			
2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 2 J. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases	 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability – Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases 			
2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases	 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability – Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases 			
2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): ARBITRA	 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability – Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases 			
2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): ARBITRAT	2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability – Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify): (Please specify):			
2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): ARBITRAT (The effect of this certification is to	2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability – Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify): (Please specify): TION CERTIFICATION Permove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)			
2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): ARBITRAT (The effect of this certification is to the second or prossible of the second or prossible or prossible of the second or prossible or pr	2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability – Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify): Promove the case from eligibility for arbitration.) e plaintiff, do hereby certify:			
2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): William P. Mansour Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of mexceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs: Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability 8. Products Liability Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify): Premove the case from eligibility for arbitration.) Premove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)			
2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): William P. Mansour Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of mexceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs: Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability 8. Products Liability Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify): Premove the case from eligibility for arbitration.) Premove the case from eligibility for arbitration.)			
2. FELA 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations Civil Rights 8. Habeas Corpus 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): William P. Mansour Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of mexceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs: Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Products Liability 8. Products Liability – Asbestos 9. All other Diversity Cases (Please specify): remove the case from eligibility for arbitration.) e plaintiff, do hereby certify: by knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case Mannum 318833 Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)			

Civ. 609 (5/2018)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Mariam Succar	<u>:</u>	CIVIL ACTION	
plaintiff shall complete a Cas filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant s the plaintiff and all other par	Justice Expense and Delay Red se Management Track Designation a copy on all defendants. (See § event that a defendant does not a shall, with its first appearance, sul	NO. luction Plan of this court, counsel on Form in all civil cases at the tim 1:03 of the plan set forth on the revergee with the plaintiff regarding somit to the clerk of court and serve Designation Form specifying the tree.	e of erse said e on
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	DLLOWING CASE MANAGE	MENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases b	rought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 t	hrough § 2255.	()
	equesting review of a decision of ying plaintiff Social Security Ber		()
(c) Arbitration – Cases requi	red to be designated for arbitration	on under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(d) Asbestos – Cases involvi exposure to asbestos.	ng claims for personal injury or j	property damage from	()
commonly referred to as	ases that do not fall into tracks (a complex and that need special or de of this form for a detailed exp	intense management by	
f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not fall into any on	e of the other tracks.	
03/26/2019 Date	William P. Mansow Attorney-at-law	Plaintiff Attorney for	
(610)776-1700	(484)350-3200	wmansour@kitaylega	. com
<u> Fel</u> ephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	
Civ. 660) 10/02			

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

MARIAM SUCCAR,	
Plaintiff,)) No
v.	
WALMART, INC. f/k/a WAL-MART STORES, INC. and WAL-MART STORES EAST, L.P.,)) CIVIL ACTION – LAV
Defendants.))

COMPLAINT

NOW COMES, Plaintiff MARIAM SUCCAR ("Plaintiff"), by and through her undersigned counsel, who hereby complains against Defendants WALMART, INC. f/k/a WALMART STORES, INC. and WAL-MART STORES EAST, L.P. (collectively, "Defendants") as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This sex and pregnancy-based employment discrimination action arises under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, *et seq.* ("Title VII"). Specifically, as set forth in more detail herein, Plaintiff alleges that she was unlawfully discharged from her employment with Defendants because of her sex and pregnancy in violation of Title VII.

PARTIES

- Plaintiff is an adult individual currently residing at 436 ½ West Turner Street, Apt.
 Allentown, PA 18102.
- 3. Defendant WALMART, INC. f/k/a WAL-MART STORES, INC. is publically-traded American multinational retail corporation that owns and operates a chain of retail stores,

discount stores, grocery stores, and supermarkets. WALMART, INC.'s headquarters are located at 702 SW 8th Street, Bentonville, Arkansas 72716.

4. Defendant WAL-MART STORES EAST, L.P. is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Defendant WALMART, INC. f/k/a WAL-MART STORES, INC.. WAL-MART STORES EAST, L.P. owns and operates various "Walmart" stores throughout the United States. Its headquarters are located at 601 N. Walton Boulevard, Bentonville, Arkansas 72716.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because this action arises under the laws of the United States.
- 6. Venue in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) and 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(3) because the events giving rise to this action occurred within this district.
- 7. On July 23, 2018, Plaintiff timely filed a Charge of Discrimination against Defendants with the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC").
- 8. On February 14, 2019, the EEOC issued a Dismissal and Notice of Rights to Plaintiff, a copy of which is attached hereto as **EXHIBIT A.** This action is being commenced within ninety (90) days of Plaintiff's receipt of that Notice.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 9. Plaintiff began working for Defendants on October 1, 2012 as a Cashier at Store #2145 located in Whitehall, Pennsylvania. In August 2014, she transferred to Distribution Center #7356 located in Bethlehem, Pennsylvania and worked most recently as a Packer.
- 10. As a Packer at Distribution Center #7356, Plaintiff typically worked Monday through Thursday from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. At the time of her termination, she was earning a base hourly wage of \$18.25 per hour, plus various fringe benefits.

- During her employment with Defendants, Plaintiff's job performance exceeded expectations. Indeed, in October 2017, Defendants presented Plaintiff with a certificate to celebrate 5 years of her "loyalty, dedication and hard work." Later, in January 2018, she was presented with a "Certificate of Appreciation" in recognition of her "service to the local community." Copies of these certificates are attached hereto as **EXHIBIT B.**
- 12. Defendants' attendance policy requires employees to report to work four (4) minutes before the start of their shifts and to clock in when their shifts start. If an employee arrives late or leaves early, Defendants' policy mandates the issuance of an "occurrence."
- 13. The accumulation of a certain number of "occurrences" triggers a "step" in the disciplinary process. Upon information and belief, there are three such "steps." Typically, several occurrences are needed before a "step" is reached and, as a general rule, employees are not terminated until reaching the third "step." Every six (6) months, one "occurrence" is removed from the employee's record.
- 14. In the six (6) months prior to her termination on June 6, 2018, Plaintiff had been tardy on two (2) occasions and received an "occurrence" for each of those occasions. Upon information and belief, she had not received enough "occurrences" to trigger even the first "step" of Defendants' disciplinary process.
- 15. On or about June 1, 2018, Plaintiff learned she was pregnant. As a result of her pregnancy, she experienced episodes of severe nausea and heartburn on a daily basis.
 - 16. On June 5, 2018, Plaintiff informed her manager, Joe Bealer, that she was pregnant.
- 17. On June 6, 2018, as Plaintiff was driving to work, she was forced to pull over twice to vomit because of the severe nausea she was experiencing that morning.

- 18. On that same date, Plaintiff arrived to work and entered the premises at precisely 7:00 a.m. Plaintiff knew it was 7:00 a.m. because she looked at her cell phone clock just before entering the building and she looked at the punch-in clock on the wall just after entering the building. Both showed the time being 7:00 a.m.
- 19. Without clocking in, Plaintiff immediately reported directly to Bealer. She told him that she did not clock in and explained that she did not arrive prior to 7:00 a.m. because she vomited twice during her commute to work that morning.
- 20. Bealer asked Plaintiff twice what time she arrived, to which Plaintiff replied: "7:00 a.m." Bealer then asked why Plaintiff did not clock in, and Plaintiff responded that she did not clock in because she was not feeling well due to her pregnancy. Bealer agreed, stating "You look very pale."
- 21. Plaintiff told Bealer that she would prefer to take the day off, but that she was afraid of receiving an "occurrence," so she would try to complete her shift. She then reported to her station and began working.
- 22. Around 11:00 a.m. that day, Bealer called Plaintiff to his office and asked her to write down exactly why she was late that morning. Plaintiff complied and wrote that she was late because she vomited twice during her commute to work that morning due to complication with her pregnancy. Bealer than said he was going to give Plaintiff's written statement to Human Resources and that they were going to investigate the matter.
- 23. About thirty (30) minutes later, Plaintiff began feeling worse and felt like she was going to faint. She tried to find Bealer to ask if she could go home, but she could not find him.
- 24. Shortly thereafter, Plaintiff was called into the Human Resources office to meet with Bealer, another manager named "Heather," and a Human Resources supervisor.

- 25. During this meeting, Bealer accused Plaintiff of "stealing company time" by allegedly reporting for work that day at 7:03 a.m. and by failing to clock in. She reiterated that she arrived at 7:00 a.m., but nevertheless apologized for being late.
- 26. Plaintiff began crying and, again, explained to Bealer and the others that she arrived later than usual because she vomited twice during her commute to work that morning due to complications with her pregnancy. She begged Bealer and the others to give her a chance to obtain paperwork from her doctor, but they refused.
- 27. Instead of issuing Plaintiff an "occurrence" for her alleged tardiness, as their policy dictates, Defendants terminated Plaintiff's employment effective immediately. Plaintiff was required to turn in her badge and escorted from the building by Bealer.
- 28. Upon information and belief, male employees and other non-pregnant female employees would have received, and in fact did previously receive, an "occurrence" for similar tardiness without being terminated. Indeed, prior to becoming pregnant, Plaintiff, herself, received only an "occurrence" for prior instances of tardiness.

COUNT I DISCRIMINATORY DISCHARGE IN VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1), TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964

- 29. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding allegations as if same were set forth more fully at length herein.
 - 30. Plaintiff was a member of a protected class because she was female and pregnant.
- 31. At the time of her discharge, Plaintiff was performing her job satisfactorily and had not been disciplined in relation to her job performance or attendance.
- 32. Plaintiff was discharged from her employment with Defendants on June 6, 2018 for allegedly reporting late to work and/or incorrectly reporting the time she arrived at work.

- 33. Upon information and belief, other male and/or non-pregnant employees had not been discharged from their employment for reporting late to work and/or incorrectly reporting the time they arrived at work.
- 34. Upon information and belief, the employee hired to replace Plaintiff was either male or a non-pregnant female.
- 35. Defendants discharged Plaintiff from her employment because of her sex and pregnancy.
- 36. Defendants discharged Plaintiff from her employment because they knew she was pregnant and did not want to accommodate her pregnancy and related medical issues in the future.
- 37. Defendants did not have any legitimate, non-discriminatory reason for discharging Plaintiff from her employment.
- 38. Defendants' discharged Plaintiff from her employment intentionally and in reckless disregard for Plaintiff's rights under federal law.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Honorable Court enter judgment in her favor and against Defendants, jointly and severally, and award the following relief:

- All back pay from the date of termination through the date of judgment, plus
 pre- and post-judgment interest;
- Front pay from the date of judgment through a date deemed equitable and just by the court;
- c. Compensatory damages in an amount to be determined by a jury;
- d. Punitive damages in an amount to be determined by a jury;
- e. All costs and reasonable attorney's fees; and
- f. Any other relief deemed proper and just.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff Mariam Succar demands a trial by jury for all claims so triable.

Respectfully Submitted,

KITAY LAW OFFICES

BY: William P. Ma

William P. Mansour, Esquire Pa. Attorney ID No. 318833

Kitay Law Offices 1810 Union Blvd. Allentown, PA 18109 P: (610) 776-1700

Email: wmansour@kitaylegal.com

Attorney for Plaintiff Mariam Succar

Dated: March 26, 2019

EXHIBIL Y

EEOC Form 161 (11/16)

U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

DISMISSAL AND NOTICE OF RIGHTS					
To: Mariam Succar 436 1/2 W Turner St, Apt 3 Allentown, PA 18102		From:	Philadelphia Distri 801 Market Street Suite 1300 Philadelphia, PA 1		
		person(s) aggrieved whose identity IAL (29 CFR §1601.7(a))	s	:	
EEOC Charg	e No.	EEOC Representative			Telephone No.
530-2018-	04932	Legal Unit			(215) 440-2828
THE EEO	C IS CLOSING ITS FI	LE ON THIS CHARGE FOR	THE FOLLO	WING REASON:	
	The facts alleged in the	e charge fail to state a claim und	er any of the st	atutes enforced by the	EEOC.
	Your allegations did not involve a disability as defined by the Americans With Disabilities Act.				
	The Respondent employs less than the required number of employees or is not otherwise covered by the statutes.				
	Your charge was not timely filed with EEOC; in other words, you waited too long after the date(s) of the alleged discrimination to file your charge				
X	The EEOC issues the following determination: Based upon its investigation, the EEOC is unable to conclude that the information obtained establishes violations of the statutes. This does not certify that the respondent is in compliance with the statutes. No finding is made as to any other issues that might be construed as having been raised by this charge.				
	The EEOC has adopte	d the findings of the state or loc	al fair employm	ent practices agency th	at investigated this charge.
	Other (briefly state)				
		- NOTICE OF		_	
Discrimination You may file lawsuit mus	tion in Employment a e a lawsuit against the st be filed <u>WITHIN 90</u>	sabilities Act, the Genetic Act: This will be the only not respondent(s) under federa <u>DAYS</u> of your receipt of t ased on a claim under state	ice of dismiss I law based o h is notice ; or	al and of your right to n this charge in fede your right to sue bas	o sue that we will send you. ral or state court. Your
alleged EPA	Act (EPA): EPA suits underpayment. This file suit may not be o	must be filed in federal or sta means that backpay due fo collectible.	ate court withir r any violatio	n 2 years (3 years for ns that occurred <u>m</u>	willful violations) of the ore than 2 years (3 years)
		n beh	alf of the Comm	ission	
		Jania	Allemen.		2/14/2019
Enclosures(s)	•		Williamson, Director		(Date Mailed)
cc: Ma	ile Gilmore			Mansour	

Maile Gilmore Walmart c/o Littler Mendelson, P.C. - GSC (LCS) 2301 McGee Street Suite 800 Kansas City, MO 64108

William Mansour KITAY LAW OFFICES Po Box 14234 Reading, PA 19612

EXHIBIL B



